Public Works (1909) Department.

G.O., No. 2688.

DATED 22-6-1909.

GOVERNMENT PRESS 2 JUL 1909 MADRAS.

15-

[Despatch Abstract.]

Investigation of the Periyar-Pambiyar Scheme, Madura Division

An estimate of Rs.6,441 for work done on the .... during 1908-1909 sanctioned with remarks.

C.S.

[P. W. O. 5,000—8-7-07.]

Indexed.
29/6/09

Registered
SK
23-6-09

22/6

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Recd. } 1909. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 268 I., 22nd June 1909.

Investigation of the Periyar-Pambiyar Scheme, Madura Division.

An estimate of Rs. 6,441 for work done on the — during 1908–1909, sanctioned with remarks.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Memorandum No. 1828, dated 13th May 1908.

The Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, is requested to submit an estimate of the cost of the Pambiyar-Periyár Investigation conducted under the orders of Mr. S. B. Murray, Executive Engineer, during 1908–1909, distinguishing between "Works", Special Tools and Plant, Establishment, etc.

2. Mr. Murray was finally relieved in that party on the afternoon of the 1st instant.

(By Order.)

T. W. S. SMYTH,
Asst. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

II

No. 2578, dated 9th July 1908.

The Superintending Engineer is requested to expedite the estimate of the cost of the Pambiyar-Periyár Investigation, called for in this office memorandum No. 1828, dated 13th May 1908.

(By Order.)

T. W. S. SMYTH,
Asst. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

III

Letter—from J. H. MEDLICOTT, Esq., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
To—the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Dated—Trichinopoly, the 19th August 1908.
No.—461-C.E.

With reference to your memorandum No. 1828, dated 13th May 1908, I have the honour to forward herewith an estimate amounting to Rs. 8,900 for work done and paid for under the orders of Mr. S. B. Murray in connection with the Pambiyar-Periyár Investigation until the 1st May of this year, when he was relieved of the charge of the Investigation.

IV

No. 3226, dated 7th September 1908.

For favour of verification of outlay incurred. If there are any items relating to salary and allowances audited, but not paid, they may also be included.

The passage money paid to Mr. Milner does not appear to be a legitimate charge against the project.

(By Order.)

T. W. S. SMYTH,
Asst. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

V

Letter—from Mr. A. L. WRIGHT, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
To—the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Dated—the 29th September 1908.
No.—281-C.

Herewith a statement of probable expenditure on the Periyár-Pambiyar Investigation project during 1908–1909 so far as is known :—

	RS.	A.	P.	
Works	528	15	8	Includes a write-back of about Rs. 2,000 on account of tools returned to Public Works Stores in July 1908.
Establishment	6,166	15	7	...
Tools and plant ...	1,254	9	6	Represents value of tools returned to Public Works Stores in July 1908.

2. With reference to paragraph 2 of your office-note, the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, will be instructed to write back to "Provincial Establishment" the sum of Rs. 605 representing Mr. Milner's passage money to Europe and also his salary for the period 23rd to 30th April 1908, viz. Rs. 160, as he was relieved of the investigation work on the afternoon of 22nd April 1908.

VI

No. 3837, dated 20th October 1908.

[*Reference.*—Letter No. 461-C.E., dated 19th August 1908, from the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.]

The undersigned has the honour to forward copy of Examiner's No. 281-C., dated 29th September 1908, from which it will be seen that the probable expenditure on "Works" excluding the write-back of Rs. 2,000 on account of tools returned to the Public Works Stores is Rs. 2,529, while the provision made by the Superintending Engineer in the estimate therefor amounts only to Rs. 2,310.

2. Expenditure appears to have been incurred under tools and plant, but the estimate makes no provision for that item.

3. It is not clear why the write-back on account of the value of the tools returned to the Public Works Stores should affect the expenditure on "Works" as the remarks in the statement furnished by the Examiner would seem to show.

4. The attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to paragraph 2 of the Examiner's note and he is requested to carry out the adjustment accordingly.

5. The estimate is returned for revision and very early resubmission.

(By Order.)

T. W. S. SMYTH,
Asst. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

VII

Letter—from H. E. CLERK, Esq., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
To—the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Dated—Trichinopoly, the 11th March 1909.
No.—209-C.E.

With reference to your Memorandum No. 3837, dated 20th October 1908, returning with remarks for revision the estimate for the work done and paid for during the current year under the orders of Mr. S. B. Murray in connection with the Periyár-Pambiyar Investigation, I have the honour to state as follows :—

Paragraph 1 of the memorandum.—You point out that the probable expenditure on "Works" as reported by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, is Rs. 2,529 while the provision made under this head in the estimate submitted by my predecessor amounts to only Rs. 2,310. The explanation for the difference is that the Examiner's figure includes not only the outlay incurred and paid for up to 1st May 1908—the date of closing the investigation by Mr. Murray, but also the expenditure subsequently incurred in connection with the establishment employed in registering gauge readings—while the figure furnished in this office estimate referred to above, provided only for the actual "Works" expenditure incurred up to 1st May, as required by your memorandum No. 1828, dated 13th May 1908.

Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the memorandum.—Attention is invited to the Executive Engineer's explanation in paragraphs 2 and 3 of his letter No. 70-S.E., dated 18th January 1909 (copy enclosed).

Paragraph 4 of the memorandum.—The adjustment referred to has since been carried out by the Executive Engineer.

Paragraph 5 of the memorandum.—A revised estimate to cover the charges actually incurred up to 1st May 1908 under I. Works, II. Establishment and III. Special Tools and Plant, is herewith submitted for sanction. In this estimate the book adjustments carried out, subsequent to the date of closing the investigation, in connection with the outlay under tools and plant, have been taken into account.

2. In this connection and with reference to the concluding sentence of paragraph 1 of the Executive Engineer's letter forwarded herewith, I would point out that a provision of Rs. 2,280 to cover the charges of the establishment engaged in registering gauge readings has been made in the estimate submitted with this office No. 622-C.E., dated 3rd November 1908, for constructing a gauge house for the automatic recorder obtained from the Coimbatore Division.

ENCLOSURE.

Letter—from A. R. DECHAZAL, Esq., Executive Engineer, Madura Division.
To—the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
Dated—the 18th January 1909.
No.—70-S.E.

With reference to your No. 4338, dated 27th October 1908, I have the honour to report as follows :—

1. With my No. 603-S.E., dated 20th July 1908, two estimates—one for Rs. 8,900 comprising of works, outlay Rs. 2,310 and establishment charges Rs. 6,590 for work actually done and paid under the orders of Mr. Murray up to date of closing of the investigation, viz. 1st May 1908, and another for Rs. 2,000 for establishment required for registering gauge readings thereafter, *i.e.*, during the remainder of the year—were sent, from which it will be observed that the provision made in estimate for works is Rs. (2,310 + 2,000) or 4,310 and not 2,310. Against this amount, viz. Rs. 4,310, the whole of the expenditure incurred prior to 1st May 1908 and thereafter was debited. The fact of there being a second estimate of Rs. 2,000 to cover the works outlay during the remainder of the year does not appear to have been known to the Examiner which accounts for the discrepancy brought to notice by that officer. It may, however, be mentioned that in sanctioning the two estimates referred to above, the latter, viz. the estimate of Rs. 2,000 for taking gauge readings may be held distinct from the former, the expenditure pertaining to it will be exhibited accordingly on receipt of sanction thereto.

2. No provision was made in the estimate for expenditure incurred under Tools and Plant as the debit on account, as subsequently been cleared by credit of the value of tools returned to Public Works Stores.

3. The tools obtained for the investigation were partly charged to "Works" and partly to "Special tools and plant". Pending verification of the amount debited to "Works" and that charged to "Special tools and plant" up to date of their transfer, the value of the whole lot of tools returned to Public Works Stores was provisionally credited to "Works" and necessary write-back has been made in accounts for August 1908.

4. The adjustment referred to, paragraph 2 of Examiner's office note, viz., the write-back of passage money paid to Mr. Milner and of his salary from 23rd to 30th April 1908 to Provincial establishment has since been carried out and a revised estimate is accordingly submitted for favour of necessary sanction.

VIII

Ref. C. No. 423, dated 25th May 1909.

Forwarded to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for verification of the actuals shewn in the revised estimate received from the Superintending Engineer.

(By Order.)

T. W. S. SMYTH,
Asst. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

IX

No. 180 C., dated 12th June 1909, dated 17th June 1909.

If the charges debited to "Works" from 1st May 1908 to 31st March 1909, aggregating Rs. 2,358, are to be written back to a separate estimate, the provision of Rs. 312 under "Works" and of Rs. 6,129 under "Establishment" in the revised estimate will be sufficient to cover the expenditure incurred.

2. As regards Tools and Plant the entry of Rs. 1,255, represents only the transactions during 1908–1909, viz., the write-back in July 1908 of Rs. 1,254–9–6 debited to this head in 1907–1908. There has been no debit to this head to end of March 1909.

F. P. B. WOOD,
Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

X

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 17th June 1909.

The estimate received from the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with his last letter quoted above for work done in connection with the investigation of the Periyár-Pambiyar Scheme during 1908–1909 is recommended for sanction.

2. With reference to the concluding portion of paragraph 2 of the Superintending Engineer's letter it may be noted that separate orders have been passed on the estimate for gauging operations therein referred to.

3. As regards the write-back of Rs. 1,255 shown under "Tools and Plant" in the estimate, the attention of the Superintending Engineer may be drawn to paragraph 2 of the Examiner's above office note. (No. 180 C., dated 12th June 1909.) The *minus* figure shown by the Superintending Engineer has been deleted, thus raising the total amount of the estimate from Rs. 5,186 to Rs. 6,441.

C. A. SMITH,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Order—No. 268 I., dated 22nd June 1909.

The following estimate is sanctioned :—

Provincial.

Irrigation.

43. Minor Works and Navigation.

Class IV (*a*) Minor Works for which Capital and Revenue accounts are not kept.

Original Works.

Madura Division.

Investigation of the Periyár-Pambiyar scheme.

	RS.
Estimate—	
Works	312
Special Establishment	6,129
Total ...	6,441

2. This sanction is registered as No. 43 I. of 1909–1910.

3. The attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to paragraphs 2 and 3 of the foregoing note.

(True Extract.)

C. A. SMITH,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with estimate.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Forwarded to the

(By Order.)

Deputy Registrar.

1,000—8-7-07. P. W. D., Irrigation Branch.

Subject:—

NOTES

CONNECTED WITH

G.O., No. I., dated 190 .

Notes edited.

Initials of the Clerk.

Subject - Investigation of the Periyar Pambiyar Scheme - Estimate for work done on the - in 1908-09

NOTES.

Page

From the Examiner P. W. accounts
d/ 12-6-'09 No 180-C

D. I.

p. 15 of the C. F.

With his office note quoted above the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, returns verified the estimate received from the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, for work done on the investigation of the Periyar-Pambiyar Scheme during 1908-09.

pages 12 -
vide S.E.'s letter pp 7, 8 & 9

A draft order on the estimate is submitted for approval.

[initials] 15.6.'09

[signature] 17/6/09.

[initials] 17/6/09

C. No. 423

SUBJECT.— Investigation of the Periyar Pambiyar Scheme — Sanctioning with remarks an Estimate of Rs 6441 for work done on the — during 1908-1909.

CURRENT No. 6012
PASSED ON BY
R. C.
U. R. C.
REF.
R. K.
D. O.
21.6.09.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Enclosures 4

Print

READ the following

To the Supg: Engineer VI circle	d/ 13-5-08	No 1828
do	d/ 9-7-08	No 2578
From do	d/ 19-8-08	No 461 C.E.
To the Examiner Public Works accounts	d/ 7-9-08	No 3226
From do	d/ 29-9-08	No 281-C.
To the Supg: Engineer VI Circle	d/ 20-10-08	No 3837
From the do	d/ 11-3-09	No 209 C.E. with Encl.
To the Examiner Public Works accounts	d/ 25-5-09	No 423
From the do	d/ 12-6-09	No 180-C

Print ~~abst~~ ~~notes~~.

Irrigation Account

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation

The estimate received from the Supg: Engineer VI Circle with his last letter quoted above for work done in Connection with the investigation of the Periyar Pambiyar Scheme during 1908-09 is recommended for Sanction -

p 8 of Cf

G.O. now in press

x p 14 of Cf

x No. 180-C d/ 12.6.09
p 15 of Cf

2/ With reference to the Concluding portion of para 2 of the Supg: Engineer's letter it may be noted that Separate orders x have been passed on the estimate for gauging operations therein referred to.

3/ As regards the write-back of Rs 1,255 x shown under "Tools and Plant" in the estimate, the attention of the Supg: Engineer may be drawn to para 2 of the Examiner's above Office note. x The minus figure shown by the Supg: Engineer has been deleted, thus raising the total amount of the estimate from — Rs 5,186 to Rs 6,441.

Order 2689 of 22-6-1909.

The following estimate

14P is Sanctioned:-

Provincial

Irrigation

43 Minor works and Navigation

Class IV (a) Minor works for which Capital and Revenue accounts are not kept.

Original works

Madura Division

Investigation of the Periyar-Pambiyar Scheme

Estimate:-

Works Rs	312
Spl. Establishment Rs	6,129
Total	6,441

2 This Sanction is registered as no 439 of 1909-10.

3 The attention of the Supg: Engineer is drawn to paras 2 and 3 of the foregoing note-

[illegible] 17/6/09.

Issue

[illegible] 17/6/09

To
The Chief Engineer for Irrigation
The Supg: Engineer VI circle
The Examiner P. W. accounts

Ordy Press.

[illegible] 15/6/09

DESPATCHED
28 JUL 1909

5